UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

No. 21 Civ. 8047 (JMF)

V.

JAESON BIRNBAUM,

**ECF** Case

Defendant.

## JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT JAESON BIRNBAUM

The Securities and Exchange Commission having filed a Complaint and Defendant

Jaeson Birnbaum having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court's jurisdiction over

Defendant and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Judgment; waived

findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Judgment:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant is permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

(a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;

- (b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, as provided in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(d)(2), the foregoing paragraph also binds the following who receive actual notice of this Judgment by personal service or otherwise: (a) Defendant's officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys; and (b) other persons in active concert or participation with Defendant or with anyone described in (a).

II.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant is permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] in the offer or sale of any security by the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails, directly or indirectly:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- to obtain money or property by means of any untrue statement of a material fact
  or any omission of a material fact necessary in order to make the statements
  made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading;
  or
- (c) to engage in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, as provided in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(d)(2), the foregoing paragraph also binds the following who receive actual notice of this Judgment by personal service or otherwise: (a) Defendant's officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys; and (b) other persons in active concert or participation with Defendant or with anyone described in (a).

III.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, pursuant to Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)] and Section 20(e) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(e)], Defendant is prohibited from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 781] or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 780(d)].

IV.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant shall pay disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, prejudgment interest thereon, and a civil penalty pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)] and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3)]. The Court shall determine the amounts of the disgorgement and civil penalty upon motion of the Commission. Prejudgment interest shall be calculated from July 21, 2017, based on the rate of interest used by the Internal Revenue Service for the underpayment of federal income tax as set forth in 26 U.S.C. § 6621(a)(2). In connection with the Commission's motion for disgorgement and/or civil penalties, and at any hearing held on such a motion: (a) Defendant will be precluded from arguing that he did not violate the federal securities laws as alleged in the Complaint; (b) Defendant may not challenge the validity

of the Consent or this Judgment; (c) solely for the purposes of such motion, the allegations of the Complaint shall be accepted as and deemed true by the Court; and (d) the Court may determine the issues raised in the motion on the basis of affidavits, declarations, excerpts of sworn deposition or investigative testimony, and documentary evidence, without regard to the standards for summary judgment contained in Rule 56(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In connection with the Commission's motion for disgorgement and/or civil penalties, the parties may take discovery, including discovery from appropriate non-parties.

V.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Consent is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and that Defendant shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein.

VI.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, for purposes of exceptions to discharge set forth in Section 523 of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 523, the allegations in the complaint are true and admitted by Defendant, and further, any debt for disgorgement, prejudgment interest, civil penalty or other amounts due by Defendant under this Judgment or any other judgment, order, consent order, decree or settlement agreement entered in connection with this proceeding, is a debt for the violation by Defendant of the federal securities laws or any regulation or order issued under such laws, as set forth in Section 523(a)(19) of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(19).

VII.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Judgment.

VIII.

There being no just reason for delay, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Clerk is ordered to enter this Judgment forthwith and without further notice.

Dated: October 12, 2021,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

All deadlines are hereby STAYED. In light of that, the conference scheduled for December 17, 2021, is ADJOURNED sine die. By January 13, 2022, the parties shall file a joint letter proposing a plan for how this case shall proceed. SO ORDERED.

October 12, 2021

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

No. 21 Civ. 8047 (JMF)

V.

**ECF CASE** 

JAESON BIRNBAUM,

Defendant.

## CONSENT OF DEFENDANT JAESON BIRNBAUM

- 1. Defendant Jaeson Birnbaum ("Defendant") waives service of a summons and the complaint in this action, enters a general appearance, and admits the Court's jurisdiction over Defendant and over the subject matter of this action.
- 2. Defendant has pleaded guilty to criminal conduct relating to certain matters alleged in the complaint in this action. Specifically, in *United States v. Jaeson Birnbaum*, Crim. No. 21 Cr. 0595 (PAC) (S.D.N.Y.) ("*United States v. Birnbaum*"), Defendant pleaded guilty to securities fraud in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) & 78ff, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5, and 18 U.S.C. § 2. In connection with that plea, Defendant admitted the facts set out in the transcript of his plea allocution that is attached as Exhibit A to this Consent. This Consent shall remain in full force and effect regardless of the existence or outcome of any further proceedings in *United States v. Birnbaum*.
- 3. Defendant hereby consents to the entry of the Judgment in the form attached hereto (the "Judgment") and incorporated by reference herein, which, among other things:
  - (a) permanently restrains and enjoins Defendant from violations of the

- Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") Section 10(b) [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], and Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)]; and
- (b) permanently prohibits Defendant, pursuant to Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)] and Section 20(e) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(e)], from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78l] or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(d)].
- 4. Defendant agrees that the Court shall order disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, prejudgment interest thereon, and a civil penalty pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)] and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act. Defendant further agrees that the amounts of the disgorgement and civil penalty shall be determined by the Court upon motion of the Commission, and that prejudgment interest shall be calculated from July 21, 2017, based on the rate of interest used by the Internal Revenue Service for the underpayment of federal income tax as set forth in 26 U.S.C. § 6621(a)(2). Defendant further agrees that in connection with the Commission's motion for disgorgement and/or civil penalties, and at any hearing held on such a motion: (a) Defendant will be precluded from arguing that he did not violate the federal securities laws as alleged in the Complaint; (b) Defendant may not challenge the validity of this Consent or the Judgment; (c) solely for the purposes of such motion, the allegations of the Complaint shall be accepted as and deemed true by the Court; and (d) the Court may determine the issues raised in the motion on the basis of affidavits, declarations, excerpts of sworn

deposition or investigative testimony, and documentary evidence, without regard to the standards for summary judgment contained in Rule 56(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In connection with the Commission's motion for disgorgement and/or civil penalties, the parties may take discovery, including discovery from appropriate non-parties.

- 5. Defendant waives the entry of findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 6. Defendant waives the right, if any, to a jury trial and to appeal from the entry of the Judgment.
- 7. Defendant enters into this Consent voluntarily and represents that no threats, offers, promises, or inducements of any kind have been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission to induce Defendant to enter into this Consent.
- 8. Defendant agrees that this Consent shall be incorporated into the Judgment with the same force and effect as if fully set forth therein.
- 9. Defendant will not oppose the enforcement of the Judgment on the ground, if any exists, that it fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and hereby waives any objection based thereon.
- 10. Defendant waives service of the Judgment and agrees that entry of the Judgment by the Court and filing with the Clerk of the Court will constitute notice to Defendant of its terms and conditions. Defendant further agrees to provide counsel for the Commission, within thirty days after the Judgment is filed with the Clerk of the Court, with an affidavit or declaration stating that Defendant has received and read a copy of the Judgment.
  - 11. Consistent with 17 C.F.R. § 202.5(f), this Consent resolves only the claims

asserted against Defendant in this civil proceeding. Defendant acknowledges that no promise or representation has been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission with regard to any criminal liability that may have arisen or may arise from the facts underlying this action or immunity from any such criminal liability. Defendant waives any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the settlement of this proceeding, including the imposition of any remedy or civil penalty herein. Defendant further acknowledges that the Court's entry of a permanent injunction may have collateral consequences under federal or state law and the rules and regulations of self-regulatory organizations, licensing boards, and other regulatory organizations. Such collateral consequences include, but are not limited to, a statutory disqualification with respect to membership or participation in, or association with a member of, a self-regulatory organization. This statutory disqualification has consequences that are separate from any sanction imposed in an administrative proceeding. In addition, in any disciplinary proceeding before the Commission based on the entry of the injunction in this action, Defendant understands that he shall not be permitted to contest the factual allegations of the complaint in this action.

12. Defendant understands and agrees to comply with the terms of 17 C.F.R. § 202.5(e), which provides in part that it is the Commission's policy "not to permit a defendant or respondent to consent to a judgment or order that imposes a sanction while denying the allegations in the complaint or order for proceedings." As part of Defendant's agreement to comply with the terms of Section 202.5(e), Defendant acknowledges the guilty plea for related conduct described in paragraph 2 above, and: (i) will not take any action or make or permit to be made any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegation in the complaint or creating the impression that the complaint is without factual basis; (ii) will not make or permit to

be made any public statement to the effect that Defendant does not admit the allegations of the complaint, or that this Consent contains no admission of the allegations (iii) upon the filing of this Consent, Defendant hereby withdraws any papers filed in this action to the extent that they deny any allegation in the complaint; and (iv) stipulates for purposes of exceptions to discharge set forth in Section 523 of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §523, that the allegations in the complaint are true, and further, that any debt for disgorgement, prejudgment interest, civil penalty or other amounts due by Defendant under the Judgment or any other judgment, order, consent order, decree or settlement agreement entered in connection with this proceeding, is a debt for the violation by Defendant of the federal securities laws or any regulation or order issued under such laws, as set forth in Section 523(a)(19) of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(19). If Defendant breaches this agreement, the Commission may petition the Court to vacate the Judgment and restore this action to its active docket. Nothing in this paragraph affects Defendant's: (i) testimonial obligations; or (ii) right to take legal or factual positions in litigation or other legal proceedings in which the Commission is not a party.

- 13. Defendant hereby waives any rights under the Equal Access to Justice Act, the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, or any other provision of law to seek from the United States, or any agency, or any official of the United States acting in his or her official capacity, directly or indirectly, reimbursement of attorney's fees or other fees, expenses, or costs expended by Defendant to defend against this action. For these purposes, Defendant agrees that Defendant is not the prevailing party in this action since the parties have reached a good faith settlement.
- 14. Defendant agrees to waive all objections, including but not limited to, constitutional, timeliness, and procedural objections, to the administrative proceeding that will be

instituted when the judgment is entered.

- Defendant agrees that the Commission may present the Judgment to the Court for signature and entry without further notice.
- Defendant agrees that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the Judgment.

Dated: 9/2/21

Jaeson Birnbaum

On Septemb 28, 2021, Jacson Birnbeum, a person known to me, personally appeared before me and acknowledged executing the foregoing Consent.

Michael Samuel M. Braverman, an Attorney at Law of New Good and Jersey

Approved as to form:

Samuel M. Braverman

Fasulo, Braverman & Di Maggio, LLP

225 Broadway, Suite 715 New York, NY 10007

(212) 566-6213

Attorney for Defendant

## **EXHIBIT A**

```
L9SCbirP
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                 V.
                                             21 CR 595 (PAC)
 5
     JAESON BIRNBAUM,
6
                    Defendant.
               -----x
 7
 8
                                              New York, N.Y.
                                              September 28, 2021
9
                                              2:00 p.m.
10
      Before:
11
                           HON. PAUL A. CROTTY,
12
                                              District Judge
13
                               APPEARANCES
14
     AUDREY STRAUSS,
          United States Attorney for the
15
          Southern District of New York
16
     BY: DANIEL LOSS
          Assistant United States Attorney
17
      FASULO BRAVERMAN & DiMAGGIO LLP
          Attorneys for Defendant
18
     BY: MICHAEL GIRODANO
19
     ALSO PRESENT:
20
          Postal Inspector Kayla Dobbins
21
22
23
24
25
```

2.0

2.2.

(Case called)

MR. LOSS: Good afternoon, Judge. Daniel Loss for the government. I'm joined by Postal Inspector Kayla Dobbins.

MR. GIORDANO: Good afternoon, your Honor. Michael Giordano, standing in for Sam Braverman with the Court's permission, Fasulo Braverman & DiMaggio. Present to my left is Mr. Birnbaum.

THE COURT: Good afternoon. We have a lot of paperwork to get through. We're starting with the presentment and then we'll waive indictment, arraign Mr. Birnbaum, and take his guilty plea; is that correct, Mr. Giordano?

MR. GIORDANO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have the date and time of the arrest?

MR. LOSS: Yes, Judge. The defendant selfsurrendered this morning to the Postal Inspection Service at approximately 7:10 a.m.

THE COURT: Mr. Birnbaum, I'm Judge Crotty. The purpose of today's proceeding is to advise you of certain rights that you have, inform you of the charges against, consider whether counsel should be appointed for you, decide under what conditions, if any, you should be released.

I'm now going to explain certain constitutional rights that you have. You have the right to remain silent. You're not required to make any statement. Even if you already made

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

statements to the authorities, there is no need for you to make further statements. Any statements that you do make could be used against you. You have the right to be released, either conditionally or unconditionally, pending trial, unless I find that there is no condition that would reasonably assure me your presence in court and safety of the community.

You have the right to be represented by an attorney during the court proceedings, including this one, and during all questioning by the authorities. If you cannot afford an attorney, I will appoint one for you to represent you.

You're proceeding with retained counsel; is that correct, Mr. Birnbaum?

THE DEFENDANT: Correct.

THE COURT: I have before me an information containing the charges against Mr. Birnbaum.

Have you seen this, Mr. Birnbaum?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You've had a chance to talk to

Mr. Giordano, Mr. Braverman about it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You have the right to a hearing on the issue of whether you are the person named in the information. The hearing must be held within 10 days if you are in custody, or 20 days if you are released on bail.

As to bail, is there an agreed upon bail package?

2.0

MR. LOSS: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: What is it?

MR. LOSS: The parties would propose the following

conditions:

A \$200,000 bond secured by cash or property, surrender of passport and travel documents, and no new travel applications. I do understand that the defendant has already surrendered his passport to postal agents. Travel would be restricted to the Southern District of New York, Eastern District of New York, District of New Jersey, and Southern District of Florida where the defendant resides. Supervision as directed by pretrial services, including substance abuse testing and treatment, and mental health testing and treatment as directed by pretrial services. The defendant would be able to be released today on his own signature with two weeks to meet the other conditions, including posting of security.

THE COURT: That's correct, Mr. Giordano?

MR. GIORDANO: Yes, your Honor, that's correct.

THE COURT: I accept the bail conditions. They're fair and appropriate.

Let me warn you, Mr. Birnbaum, if you fail to appear in court or if you violate any of the conditions of your release, a warrant will be issued for your arrest, you and anyone who signs the bond will be responsible for paying it in the full amount. What is it, Mr. Loss?

2.0

MR. LOSS: \$200,000, Judge.

THE COURT: And you may be charged with the separate crime of bail jumping. In addition, if you commit an offense while you're released, in addition to the sentence proscribed for that offense, you'll be sentenced for an additional term of imprisonment for not more than 10 years if the offense is a felony, or a term of imprisonment for not more than one year if the offense is a misdemeanor. This term of imprisonment will be executed and any other sentence of prison will be executed when the initial period of imprisonment is completed.

Now, for the federal government, I have to advise you under 5(f) that the prosecution has to comply with its obligations under Brady v. Maryland and its progeny, to disclose to the defense all information, whether admissible or not, that is favorable to the defendant, material either to quilt or punishment and known to the prosecution.

Possible consequences for noncompliance may include dismissal of individual charges, that is the entire case, exclusion of evidence, and professional discipline or court sanctions on the attorneys responsible.

I will be entering a written order more fully describing the obligation and possible consequences of failing to meet it. And I direct the prosecution to review it and comply with the order.

Does the prosecution confirm that it understands its

```
obligations and will fulfill them?
1
 2
               MR. LOSS: Yes, your Honor.
               THE COURT: We will now proceed to the waiver of
 3
      indictment and arraignment for Mr. Birnbaum.
 4
 5
               Have you explained the procedure, Mr. Giordano?
               MR. GIORDANO: Yes, your Honor. If you don't mind,
 6
 7
      can I confer briefly?
               THE COURT: Sure.
 8
9
               MR. GIORDANO: Thank you, your Honor.
               THE COURT: We can swear Mr. Birnbaum in now.
10
               (Defendant sworn)
11
12
               THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Birnbaum, can you
13
      state your full name for the record and give us your date of
14
      birth, please.
15
               THE DEFENDANT: Jaeson Birnbaum, 2/22/74.
16
               THE COURT: How far did you get in school?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: JD.
18
               THE COURT: You're a lawyer?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
2.0
               THE COURT: You're admitted to practice?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
               THE COURT: Are you currently under the care of a
23
     physician or psychiatrist for any mental or emotional issues?
24
               THE DEFENDANT: I am seeing a psychiatrist.
25
               THE COURT: Are you taking medication?
```

24

25

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: How do you feel today? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Good. THE COURT: Do you know what you're doing? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Giordano is your lawyer? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And also, Mr. Braverman is your lawyer, as 8 9 well? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Now, you're proceeding here by way of 11 12 information, but you have to understand, Mr. Birnbaum, that you 13 have the right to be charged by an indictment of a grand jury. 14 You can waive that right and consent to being charged by information with the U.S. Attorney. Instead of an indictment, 15 16 the felony charges against you are brought by the U.S. Attorney 17 by the filing of an information. Unless you waive indictment, 18 you may not be charged with a felony unless a grand jury finds, by return of an indictment, that there is probable cause to 19 2.0 believe that a crime has been committed and that you committed 21 it. If you do not waive indictment, the government may present 22 the case to the grand jury and ask it to indict you. The grand 23 jury, for your information, is composed of at least 16 and not

that there is probable cause to believe that you committed the

more than 23 persons, and at least 12 grand jurors must find

```
crime with which you are charged before you can be indicted.
1
      The grand jury might or it might not indict you. If you waive
 2
 3
      indictment in front of the grand jury, the case will proceed
      against you and the U.S. Attorney's information just as though
 4
 5
      you had been indicted.
               Have you discussed waiving your right to indictment by
6
 7
      the grand jury with your lawyer?
 8
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
               THE COURT: Do you understand your right to be
9
10
      indicted by a grand jury?
11
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12
               THE COURT: Have any threats or promises been made to
13
      induce you to waive indictment?
14
               THE DEFENDANT: No.
15
               THE COURT: Do you wish to waive your right to
16
      indictment by grand jury?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
               THE COURT: I'm going to show you a document and ask
19
      you if that's your signature. We'll mark it as Court
2.0
      Exhibit 1.
21
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, that is my signature.
22
               THE COURT: Did you talk to Mr. Giordano before you
      signed it?
23
24
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
               THE COURT: I'm going to find that the waiver of
```

25

```
indictment is knowing and voluntarily made by Mr. Birnbaum.
1
 2
      I'm going to accept it and make it part of the court record.
               You have seen a copy of the information, have you not?
 3
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 5
               THE COURT: Have you had a chance to consult with
     Mr. Giordano?
6
 7
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
               THE COURT: Do you want the indictment read or do you
9
      waive the reading of the indictment?
10
               MR. GIORDANO: Yes, your Honor, waive the reading of
11
      the indictment.
12
               THE COURT: You're going to waive the reading. You
13
      want to enter your plea now, Mr. Birnbaum?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14
15
               THE COURT: What's your plea?
16
               THE DEFENDANT: Not quilty.
17
               THE COURT: Your plea is not quilty?
               MR. GIORDANO: Your Honor, are we -- I thought we were
18
19
      presenting him first and then -- do you need a formal entrance
      of a not quilty plea on the arraignment portion or can we move
2.0
21
      forward with the plea allocution?
22
               THE COURT: Well, I asked him if he wants to plead
23
      quilty or not quilty. He said he wanted to plead quilty, so
24
      I'm now going to allocute him on his guilty plea.
```

MR. GIORDANO: Thank you, your Honor.

```
1
               THE COURT: Unless you have an alternative that you
 2
      want me to pursue?
 3
               MR. GIORDANO: No, your Honor. Thank you.
               THE COURT: So let's go through this again.
 4
 5
     Mr. Birnbaum, how do you plead to the charges?
6
               THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
 7
               THE COURT: Before I accept that plea, I have to ask
8
      you some more questions.
9
               Do you understand, first, that you don't have to plead
10
      quilty?
11
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12
               THE COURT: You can enter a plea of not quilty and
13
      persist in that charge.
14
               Do you understand?
15
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
               THE COURT: Has Mr. Braverman and Mr. Giordano
16
17
      explained to you the information?
18
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
               THE COURT: Do you know what you're being charged
2.0
      with?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
               THE COURT: Have your attorneys explained to you the
23
      consequences of pleading quilty?
24
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
               THE COURT: Are you satisfied with the advice,
```

24

25

defense.

counsel, and representation given to you by your attorneys? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Let me review some of the rights that you're giving up just so you understand. 4 5 I've already explained to you that you do not have to 6 plead quilty, you can plead not quilty and persist in that 7 plea. Do you understand? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: You have a right to a trial by jury of 12 11 At trial you would be presumed innocent, the 12 government would have to prove your quilt beyond a reasonable 13 doubt. The jury of 12 would have to be unanimous. 14 Do you understand? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: You'd have the right to assistance of 17 counsel for your defense. If necessary, you could have the 18 Court appoint counsel at trial and every stage of the 19 proceeding. 2.0 Do you understand? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2.2 THE COURT: Your attorney could object to the 23 government's evidence. You would have the right to see and

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

hear all the witnesses and have them cross examined in your

25

Do you understand? 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 3 THE COURT: You'd have the right on your part to decline to testify, unless you voluntarily elected to do so in 4 5 your own defense. You'd have the right to testify and present evidence and to the use of subpoenas and process to compel the 6 7 attendance of witnesses to testify. 8 Do you understand? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Should you decide not to testify or put on 11 any evidence, these facts could not be used against you, and 12 the jury would be instructed to not consider your not 13 testifying or your not putting on any evidence. 14 Do you understand? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: That's because you're presumed innocent. 17 By entering a plea of quilty, and if that plea is accepted by 18 the Court, there will be no trial and you would waive or have given up your rights to a trial, as well as those rights 19 2.0 associated with the trial that I've just described. 21 Do you understand? 2.2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Do you understand, by pleading quilty, 24 I'll be able to sentence you just as if the jury had returned a

guilty verdict after a full trial on the merits?

```
Do you understand?
1
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 2
               THE COURT: I'm going to mark as Court Exhibit 2 a
 3
      letter dated September 15 to Sam Braverman from the government.
 4
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, do you have extra copies
 5
6
      of the plea?
 7
               MR. LOSS: Yes.
               THE COURT: Mr. Birnbaum, is that your signature on
 8
9
      the last page of the document?
10
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11
               THE COURT: Did you talk to your attorney before you
12
      signed it?
               THE DEFENDANT:
13
                              Yes.
               THE COURT: Did he answer all your questions?
14
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15
16
               THE COURT: Did you sign it voluntarily?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
               THE COURT: Did anybody make any threats or promises
18
19
      to induce you to sign this?
2.0
               THE DEFENDANT: No.
21
               THE COURT: Is there anything you want to ask
22
     Mr. Giordano about the letter now?
23
               THE DEFENDANT: No.
24
               THE COURT: Now, count 1 to which you're pleading
25
      guilty charges you with securities fraud in violation of Title
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

18, United States Code, Section 781(b), 781(f)(F)(T), Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.1(b)(10)(B)(5), Title 18 United States Code, Section 2.

This carries a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years, the maximum term of supervised release of 3 years, a maximum fine of \$5 million, or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to someone other than yourself, and a mandatory \$100 special assessment.

Do you understand that those are the maximums that can be imposed?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: There may be other consequences, as well, including your ability to practice law.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, on page 1, in addition to pleading guilty to the offense charged in count 1, you're also agreeing to the admitting the forfeiture allegations associated with count 1.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And you're also agreeing to a schedule of amounts that you have to pay in restitution in the amount of \$2,661,072.24.

Do you understand?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Did you review with Mr. Braverman and
3	Mr. Giordano how the guidelines are calculated, the offense
4	level?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
6	THE COURT: The offense level is set at 22, and your
7	criminal history category is 1, resulting in a guideline range
8	of 41 to 51 months.
9	Do you understand?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Do you understand that neither the
12	probation department or me sitting as a Court is bound by the
13	stipulation of guidelines that we've just reviewed?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Do you understand that the sentence to be
16	imposed on you will be made by me exclusively? I have power to
17	sentence you anywhere from zero to the maximum of 20 years.
18	Do you understand that?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: In addition to pleading guilty, you're
21	also agreeing to not take a direct appeal or collaterally
22	attack the sentence, any sentence which is within the guideline
23	range of 41 to 51 months.
24	Do you understand?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: At the bottom of page 4, Mr. Birnbaum, says, do you knowledge that you're accepting this agreement and deciding to plead quilty because you are, in fact, quilty. Is that a true statement? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Mr. Loss, is there anything else you wish me to ask Mr. Birnbaum about this letter? MR. LOSS: No, Judge. Thank you. THE COURT: How about you, Mr. Giordano? MR. GIORDANO: No, your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Loss, could you please rise now and explain what the essential elements are of count 1 and how you established these elements beyond a reasonable doubt. MR. LOSS: Yes, Judge. Were this matter to proceed to trial, the government would have to prove each of the following three elements beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the defendant, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, did any one or more of the following: 1, employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; Or 2, made an untrue statement of a material fact or omitted to state a material fact which would mean what was said under the circumstances misleading;

Or 3, engage in an act, practice, or course of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit

2.0

2.2

upon a purchaser or seller.

That's the first element.

The second element that the government would be required to prove beyond a reasonable doubt is that the defendant acted knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to defraud.

The third element is that the defendant knowingly used or caused to be used any means or instruments, transportation or communication in interstate commerce or the use of the mails in furtherance of the fraudulent conduct.

The government would also be required to prove venue in the Southern District of New York by a preponderance of the evidence.

The government proffers that the government would offer witness testimony, documentary proof, and other evidence at trial establishing the facts set forth in the information, and that those facts were proof beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Birnbaum is guilty of the securities charge offense, and those facts would establish venue in the Southern District of New York by a preponderance.

More specifically, if this case proceeded to trial, the government would offer witness testimony, documents, email communications, and other evidence establishing the following:

Jaeson Birnbaum was founder and owner of two litigation finance companies, Cash for Cases and Liberty

2.0

Bridge, which he operated out of Manhattan in the Southern District of New York and Franklin Lakes, New Jersey.

From July 2017 to September 2019, Mr. Birnbaum raised several million dollars for Cash for Cases from investors who purchased promissory notes, which were securities, pursuant to promissory note agreements called investor security agreements, or ISAs. These ISAs were signed or approved by Birnbaum, and the ISAs purported to pledge the recoveries of certain lawsuits that were funded by Cash for Cases as collateral to the investors, and the ISAs stated that the collateral was in good standing and free of any liens.

In a number of instances, however, those lawsuits were not actually funded by Cash for Cases, had previously been pledged to other investors or lenders of Cash for Cases or Liberty Bridge, had been sold or transferred to other entities, or have already concluded and thus had no prospects for further payout.

The evidence would show that Mr. Birnbaum was aware of the foregoing because he signed the relevant agreements with the prior investors and lenders. He controlled the database that tracked the status of cases funded by Cash for Cases and Liberty Bridge, and he exercised primary control over all aspects of Cash for Cases and Liberty Bridge's finances.

Additionally, the evidence would show that Mr. Birnbaum told prospective investors, orally, that their

2.0

money would be used solely to fund certain lawsuits, and that, contrary to that representation, he used hundreds of thousands of dollars of funds from investors for personal purposes, including the down payment on the purchase of a house and to make payments to earlier investors and lenders.

The evidence that the government would offer at trial would include, among other things, the testimony of victim investors, in that, in substance and in part, Mr. Birnbaum told them, prior to their investments, that their money would be used by Cash for Cases solely to fund litigations and that the case recoveries pledged to them as collateral were not being shared with other investors.

In addition, the evidence would include testimony of a subordinate employee, that Mr. Birnbaum directed him to make entries into a database that was used to track the status of litigations funded by Cash for Cases and Liberty Bridge, and, in particular, that Mr. Birnbaum directed him to change the status of cases in that database to make it appear that they were available and pledged as collateral to new investors or lenders when, in fact, the litigations had concluded and the applicable payouts had already been made. The evidence would also include bank account records, funding agreements for underlying relevant lawsuits, the investor security agreements, all of which would establish beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Birnbaum committed the securities fraud charged in count 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

of the information, and which would also establish venue in the Southern District of New York by a preponderance.

THE COURT: Thank you. Do you have a forfeiture order to sign now or does it come later?

MR. LOSS: It will come at sentencing, Judge.

THE COURT: The same with restitution?

MR. LOSS: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Giordano, you and your firm conducted an investigation of this matter?

MR. GIORDANO: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Do you have any reason why Mr. Birnbaum should not plead guilty?

MR. GIORDANO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Birnbaum, could you please rise now and tell us in your own words what you did.

THE DEFENDANT: Sure.

MR. GIORDANO: Your Honor, just briefly, I want to inform the Court I have a single-page, four bullet points for his allocution.

THE COURT: That's fine.

THE DEFENDANT: So, in my words, from approximately 2017 to 2019, I offered a product, and that product was essentially assigning funded or prefunded cases to investors as a debt instrument. They were pretty much essentially promissory notes. I later found out that, in order to deal

2.0

with promissory notes, you either have to be registered with the SEC or the broker dealer, and I was neither of the above.

So, for many years, things went very well. Business and things were great. But, in all environments, things went quickly out of control and cash was tight and I did wrong things.

THE COURT: What are the wrong things that you did?

THE DEFENDANT: I pledged cases that were assigned to debt for the debt instruments to more than one investor or I overinflated the potential outcome of a case to investors, and I was wrong for doing that. And, in fact, I defrauded them by doing that. And I take responsibility. And I really let things get out of control when I shouldn't have.

As far as interstate commerce and the nexus to the Southern District, I did have an office in New York. I also used the mail and email system to obtain clients, as well.

And, again, I truly regret my actions and I hope to make the victims — to make the victims as whole as possible, and I just shouldn't have let this get out of control, but I did.

THE COURT: Did you spend any of the money for your own purposes?

THE DEFENDANT: There was some money for my own purposes, yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Loss, is that adequate for your purposes?

25

```
MR. LOSS: Judge, would it be possible to ask
1
     Mr. Birnbaum if when he acted he did so knowingly, willfully,
 2
 3
      and with the intent to defraud.
               THE COURT: Mr. Birnbaum.
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did so willfully, knowingly,
 5
      and with the intent to defraud.
6
 7
               THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Loss?
               MR. LOSS: No, Judge.
 8
9
               THE COURT: Mr. Giordano, how about you?
10
               MR. GIORDANO: Nothing, your Honor.
               THE COURT: Please be seated, Mr. Birnbaum.
11
12
               It's the finding of the Court in the case of United
13
      States v. Jaeson Birnbaum that Mr. Birnbaum is fully competent
      and capable of entering an informed plea. He's aware of the
14
15
      nature of the charges and the consequences of pleading guilty.
16
      The plea of quilty is knowing, it's voluntary, it's supported
17
      by an independent basis and fact containing each of the
18
      essential elements of the offense. I therefore accept the plea
      and the defendant is now adjudged guilty of count 1 in the
19
2.0
      information.
21
               Do you have a date for sentencing?
2.2
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: January 6th, 2022, at 12 noon.
23
               THE COURT: Is that all right with you, Mr. Loss and
24
     Mr. Giordano?
```

MR. LOSS: Yes, it works for the government, Judge.

L9SCbirP Thank you. MR. GIORDANO: Your Honor, if I can briefly check Mr. Braverman's calendar. Yes, that works for defense, your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. Anything else? MR. LOSS: Not from the government, Judge. Thank you. MR. GIORDANO: Nothing from defense, your Honor. Thank you for the courtesy. THE COURT: Very well. The matter is adjourned. \* \* \*